

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27233-2018 (O&M)  
Date of Decision:-01.10.2018.

Simranjit Singh @ Makhan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Satvir Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)**

Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No.34 dated 12.07.2017 under Sections 302/452/326/324/148/149 IPC, registered at Police Station Dorangla, District Gurdaspur.

2.) This Court vide order dated 04.07.2018 had directed the petitioner to join proceeding and in the event of his appearance, Trial Court was directed to release petitioner on interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to its satisfaction.

3.) Learned State counsel, on instructions from Sub Inspector Lakhwinder Singh, submitted that in pursuance to the order dated

04.07.2018, petitioner appeared before the Trial Court and joined the proceeding. It is further submitted that petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation in the present case. Trial is pending consideration before the Trial Court. Even the observation made in the order dated 4.7.2018 remained un rebutted.

4.) In view of these facts and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 04.07.2018, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. Petitioner shall remain bound by the conditions as envisaged in Section 438 (2) of Cr.P.C.

5.) The petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall not influence the witnesses or otherwise interfere with the fair trial.

6.) Petition stands allowed.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)**  
**JUDGE**

**October 01, 2018.**  
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.