

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27139 of 2018
Date of Decision:15.11.2018

Daljeet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kawaljyot Singh, Advocate
for respondents No. 4 to 8.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for directing respondents no. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the present petitioner in view of the representation dated 04.06.2018 (P-3).

In pursuance of the notice of motion issued by this Court, reply by way of affidavit of Deepak Rai, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Khanna, Sub Division Khanna, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 has been filed

and paragraph No.3 thereof reads as under:

“ That no representation dated 04.06.2018 has been received by the office of respondent No.2. The report has been sought through the office of respondent No.2 in writing regarding the representation dated 04.06.2018 which is Annexure R-1/T. As such the rpresent petition deserves dismissal.”

Perusal of paragraph 3 of the reply reproduced herein above clearly reveals that no representation dated 04.06.2018 has been received in the office of respondent No.2.

In view of the above, no further directions are required to be issued in this matter at this stage and as such the same is disposed off accordingly.

However, liberty is granted to the the petitioner that in case the occasion arises he may take recourse to the remedies available to him under law.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

November 15, 2018
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no