

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-27101-2018

Date of decision: 18.08.2018

Surjit Ram

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: Mr. JS Banwait, Advocate for
Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. AS Gill, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. MS Batth, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondent No. 4 is taken on record.

Learned State counsel while filing reply, submits that the instant petition has rendered infructuous, in view of the fact that an FIR has been registered against petitioner-Surjit Ram, under Section 406 IPC. Reply filed by learned State counsel is also taken on record.

Office to tag both the Vakalatnama as well as reply at the appropriate place.

In view of the above, the instant petition is dismissed as having rendered infructuous.

August 18, 2018

rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No