

CRM-M-27090-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27090-2018

Date of Decision:18.07.2018

Jagdish

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. K.B.Raheja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Jagdish has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.158 dated 21.09.2016, registered at Police Station Nathusari Chopta, District Sirsa, under Sections 306 read with Section 34 of IPC.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the present FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Ramesh Kumar. As per the prosecution version, Satish Kumar, who had performed marriage with Roshani, committed suicide after four months of marriage. The present petitioner is husband of father's sister(*Puphar*) of Roshani.

CRM-M-27090-2018

During the course of arguments, it has been brought into notice of this Court that co-accused Roshani has already been granted interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 23.04.2018 passed in CRM-M-14381-2018 and co-accused Uggar Singh, father of Roshani has already been granted regular bail vide order dated 19.06.2018 passed in CRM-M-25864-2018.

The petitioner has been in custody since 10.03.2018. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping him in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, nothing stated above shall constitute my opinion on merits of the case.

18.07.2018
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No