

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-27064 of 2018 (O&M)**  
**Date of decision : 25.07.2018**

Jagdeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Premjit Singh Hundal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)**

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.41 dated 26.03.2018, registered under Sections 307, 452, 427, 148 read with Section 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act at Police Station Fatehgarh Churian, District Gurdaspur.

It is a case of the prosecution that on 26.03.2018 at about 2:00 p.m., the petitioner alongwith co-accused forcibly entered the house of complainant by opening his gate. The petitioner fired a shot firstly in the air and then he shot towards Gurtaj Singh, son of complainant. However, the victim tried to save himself but he was shot in the pelvis area.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that infact another accused Harman Preet Singh in a separate case FIR No.68 dated 18.05.2018, registered under Sections 307, 379-B, 34 IPC and Section 25

of the Arms Act at Police Station Majitha had suffered a disclosure statement vide which the pistol used in this case has been recovered on 24.05.2018.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

I have given my thoughtful consideration to the rival contentions.

The serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioner for inflicting the serious injuries with the pistol in his hand, on the person of Gurtaj Singh on 26.03.2018. Even the pistol has been recovered from some other person on 24.05.2018, it will not effect the case of the prosecution. The medical report transpires that there was fracture on the left iliac bone.

To the mind of this Court, the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation. As such, no case is made out to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, the instant petition stands dismissed.

**25.07.2018**  
mamta-M

**( RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |