

CRM-M-26921-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26921-2018

Date of Decision:03.07.2018

Prince @ Mange Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Prince @ Mange Ram has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.56 dated 08.06.2018, registered at Police Station Boha Mansa, District Mansa, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that though the present petitioner has not been named in the FIR, but has been nominated by co-accused. There is

CRM-M-26921-2018

also statement of witness-Salwinder Singh, who stated that the present petitioner is the head of the gang of the accused. As per the allegations of the prosecution, co-accused took the vehicle of the complainant and paid him ₹1,10,000/- and also executed an agreement to pay the remaining installments of loan amount of the bank, but they have not paid the said loan amount. During the investigation, it was found that these persons have cheated so many persons by committing the offence in the same way by taking away their vehicles. As per the State counsel, in the present case, it is found that the accused have taken away nine vehicles from separate persons with the same *modus operandi*.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and in view of the fact that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I find that no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

03.07.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No