

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

CRM-M-26847-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision:29.06.2018

Sonia and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sh. S.S. Siao, Advocate for respondents No.4 and 5.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

In the present case, prayer is for protecting life and liberty of the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of parents of petitioner No.1.

Petitioners are present in the Court. They are duly identified by their counsel. Petitioners assert that they have married against the wishes of parents of petitioner No.1. Petition is also supported by the affidavits of both the petitioners. Petitioners are also alleged to have submitted a representation (Annexure P-4) to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat.

Sh. S.S. Siao, Advocate has appeared along with respondents No.4 and 5. On the request of respondent No.5, petitioner No.1 has been allowed to interact her parents. Petitioners No.1 and 2 have requested respondents No.4 and 5 to permit them to live peacefully as husband and wife. Respondent No.4, who is present in the Court, has agreed thereto. However, respondent No.5 is still adamant. Let's hope and pray that better sense would prevail.

Since respondent No.5 is not accepting the marriage between the petitioners, therefore, this Court is left with no choice but to pass an order.

SHO, Police Station, Sector 3, Chandigarh has been informed. Chandigarh Police is directed to ensure that the petitioners are safely allowed to go out of Chandigarh.

Keeping in view the assertions made, the petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) after granting opportunity of hearing to respondents No.4 and 5 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

In the meantime, appropriate steps be taken to ensure the protection of life and liberty of petitioners from respondents No.4 and 5.

If the petitioners are found to be involved in any criminal case, this order would not come in the way of the Investigating Agency to take action in accordance with law.

Hence, this petition is disposed of.

29.06.2018

sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No