

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CRM-M-26798-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 27.06.2018

Sunita and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Nirmal Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioners are present in the Court. They are duly identified by their counsel. Petitioners assert that they have married against the wishes of parents of petitioner No.1. Petition is also supported by the affidavits of both the petitioners. Petitioners are also alleged to have submitted a representation (Annexure P-5) to the Superintendent of Police, Rohtak.

Keeping in view the assertions made, the petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) after granting opportunity of hearing to respondent No.5 to 7 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

In the meantime, appropriate steps be taken to ensure the protection of life and liberty of petitioners from respondents No.5 to 7.

If the petitioners are found to be involved in any criminal case, this order would not come in the way of the Investigating Agency to take action in accordance with law.

Hence, this petition is disposed of.

27.06.2018
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No