

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R.M-M No.26768 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 27.06.2018**

Nitesh and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

At the very outset, counsel states that the name of respondent No.2 has inadvertently been typed as Sr. Superintendent of Police, Rohtak instead of Superintendent of Police, Rohtak in the array of parties and in the representation Annexure P-4 and prays that the same may be allowed to be corrected.

Allowed as prayed for. Registry is directed to make necessary corrections in the paper book.

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them at the instance of respondent Nos.4 to 10.

The petitioners have got married against the wishes of their parents. They are stated to be major. In support of her proof of age petitioner No.1 has placed on record a copy of Aadhar Card (Annexure P-1) wherein her date of birth is mentioned as 01.08.1998 whereas petitioner No.2 has also placed on record a copy of Aadhar Card (Annexure P-2) depicting his year of birth as 1997. They have also moved a representation before the Superintendent of Police, Rohtak (Annexure P-4) for protection of their life and liberty.

Without adverting to the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Rohtak to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-4).

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

June 27, 2018
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No