

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M 25792 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.11.2018

Dinesh Mehta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. KS Dadwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 30 dated 10.6.2017, under Sections 406, 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Women Cell, Jalandhar.

By an order dated 20.7.2017, while issuing the notice of motion, the petitioner was directed to join the investigation. This court is informed that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent—State as well as counsel for the complainant submit that certain recoveries are yet to be made.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner is ready to deposit ₹1,50,000/- with the Illaqa Magistrate, in lieu of the alleged recoveries that are yet to be effected.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the above, let the amount of ₹1,50,000/- be deposited with the Illaqa Magistrate, in lieu of the alleged recoveries within a period of two weeks, to be disbursed in case, the complainant is successful in her allegations.

With the aforesaid directions, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 20.7.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and deposit of ₹1,50,000/- in lieu of alleged recovery of *istridhan*.

28.11.2018

prem

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No