

248.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26744-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:19.07.2018.

SHEHNEELA AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent i.e. respondent No.4.

On notice of motion having been issued, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Raghbir Singh, states that respondent No.4- Mohammad Ramjan, who is father of petitioner No.1, has made a statement that they have no objection regarding marriage of the petitioners and they are not extending any threat to their life and liberty. Learned State counsel has filed status report along with statement of respondent No.4, which is taken on record. The statement of respondent No.4-Mohammad Ramjan reads as under:-

“Stated that he is the resident of above given address and is doing labour work. My daughter Shehneela aged about 20 years has solemnized love marriage with Gurpreet Singh s/o Nand Singh r/o Dhadewari at her own will and

wishes. Neither I nor any other family member has any objection regarding this marriage performed by Shehneela and our daughter Shehneela and Gurpreet Singh can reside wherever, they want for which we will have also no objection. Statement is recorded, heard, same is correct.”

In view of above, present petition is rendered infructuous and disposed of as such. However, in case, petitioners apprehend any threat to their life and liberty, they are at liberty to approach the concerned SSP.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

19.07.2018
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No