

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26706-2018
Date of decision:09.10.2018

Sheo Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Ms. Savita Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Vashisht, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. A.S. Tagra, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 9

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.336 dated 24.10.2017 under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 324, 325, 326 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of Arms Act, Police Station Nissing, District Karnal and proceedings emanating therefrom on the

basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.

Vide order dated 10.07.2018 the parties had been directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate so as to get the statements recorded with regard to the genuineness of the compromise.

Report of learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, Karnal has been received wherein it had been reported that apart from the petitioners, the statements of complainant-Vikram and all the injured namely, Sahab Singh, Isham Singh, Pardeep @ Rinkal, Sanjiv, Parveen, Jagdeep and Pritam Singh have been recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter with the intervention of respectable persons of the village and that the compromise had been effected keeping in mind the long lasting welfare of the families and children on both the sides and that they do not wish to pursue the present criminal case and have no objection if case, FIR is quashed. The learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Karnal has specifically opined that the parties have entered into compromise voluntarily without there being any pressure or coercion.

In view of the aforesaid compromise and bearing in mind the law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in 2007(3) RCR (Crl.) 1052 Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, and also bearing in mind that the parties are neighbours in the village and that the compromise will help them in maintaining cordiality as they are residing in the same village and it would be in the larger interest of the families and children on both the sides, the petition is allowed and FIR No.336

dated 24.10.2017 under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 324, 325, 326 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of Arms Act, Police Station Nissing, District Karnal and all the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

09.10.2018
Gaurav Sorot

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No