

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.25943 of 2018
and
Criminal Misc. No.M-26700 of 2018

....

Date of decision:30.7.2018

Ravinder Kumar

...Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. S.P.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab for the
respondent-State.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

Cr. Misc. No.25943 of 2018:

For the reasons mentioned in the criminal miscellaneous application, the documents Annexures-P.7 to P.11 annexed with the application are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

The criminal miscellaneous application is allowed.

Cr. Misc. No.M-26700 of 2018:

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.16 dated 4.6.2018 registered for the offences under Sections 409 and 120-B IPC and Section 13(1)(c) and (d) read with Section 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 at Police Station Vigilance Bureau Ferozepur Range, Ferozepur.

Notice of motion has been issued in this case.

Ms. Monika Jalota, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and have gone through the record.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

As per the allegations in the FIR, the Malkhana of Police Station Vigilance Bureau Ferozepur Range, Ferozepur was inspected on 20.2.2018, and on checking of register No.19 it was found that cash of ₹1,01,195/- including ₹8,000/- of trap money, one gold ring, one bracelet silver, two silver rings, two mobile phones, 24 watches, two rings, 78 bags rice, one motor switch etc. were missing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that the petitioner has not misappropriated any amount or any article. 70 Bags of rice were lying in the open etc.

Keeping in view the allegations against the present petitioner and the facts and circumstances of the present case, I find that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation as recoveries are yet to be effected. Therefore, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled for the grant of anticipatory bail and accordingly, finding no merit in this petition, the same is dismissed.

July 30, 2018.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No