

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

112

CRM-M No.26675 of 2018
Date of Decision: 25.06.2018

Sandeep Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Both the petitioners are present in Court duly identified by their counsel.

The petitioners assert that they have married against the wishes of parents of petitioner No.1. They pray for protection of their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7. The petitioners are alleged to have made a representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar. However, at the time of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in fact the representation was made to Commissioner of Police, Amritsar.

Keeping in view the assertions made in the petition which is supported by a composite affidavit of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Commissioner of Police, Amritsar to consider and decide the representation, within a period of one month, from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

In the meantime, Commissioner of Police, Amritsar and the

concerned Station House Officer, Mukbulpura (respondent No.3) are directed to provide the appropriate protection to the petitioners ensuring that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not interfered with.

The Commissioner of Police, Amritsar shall be obligated to issue notice to respondents No.4 to 7 and provide an opportunity of hearing to them before deciding the representation.

If the petitioners are involved in any criminal case, this order would not come in the way of the authorities to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

Hence, this present petition is disposed of.

25.06.2018
Dinesh Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No