

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M-26636 of 2018

Date of Decision:- 06.07.2018

Jatinder Kumar

....Petitioner

vs.

State of U.T., Chandigarh

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, Sr. Standing Counsel for U.T., Chandigarh.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.97 dated 10.05.2018 registered at Police Station Sector 3, Chandigarh under Sections 341, 307, 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 along with Sections 332, 353 IPC (added later on).

The contents of the FIR show that the complainant was assaulted by the petitioner and co-accused Yogesh. They work in the office of a finance company as Security Guards and the complainant is an investor in the said company.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Yogesh (co-accused), who was allegedly armed with a pistol and had attempted to fire at the complainant, has been granted regular bail by the trial Court. Moreover, the petitioner has been arrested at the spot and recoveries have already been effected. Thus, the petitioner may be released on regular bail as no useful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody indefinitely.

Learned counsel for the U.T., Chandigarh does not dispute that Yogesh (co-accused) has been granted regular bail by the trial Court.

Keeping in view the submissions of the counsel for the petitioner, I am of the opinion that the petitioner also deserves the concession of regular bail. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and it is directed that petitioner-Jatinder Kumar be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court concerned.

July 06, 2018
poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No