

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25645-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 1.2.2018

Jagir Singh and another

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate, for
Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. R.K.Makkad, DAG, Haryana.

None for respondent No.2

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

By invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No. 847 dated 26.10.2015 for offence punishable under Sections 406, 420, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code registered in Police Station Thanesar, District Kurukshetra and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexures P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Randhir Singh son of Niranjana Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise Annexures P-2.

Vide order dated 27.11.2017, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kurukshetra wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and they have voluntarily compromised the matter.

Counsel for the State has not disputed that the parties have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No.847 dated 26.10.2015 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC registered in Police Station Thanesar District Kurukshetra and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioners.

February 1, 2018

Paritosh Kumar

**(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no