

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26456-2016
Decided on: 06.03.2018**

Dr. Asha Sharma

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present:- Petitioner in person with
Mr. Madan Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner contends that in the light of the subsequent developments which have taken place, especially the order passed by the District Appropriate Authority under the PNDT Act and the subsequent filing of the complaint against the other persons who are the accused and named in the FIR, the petitioner does not want to pursue the present petition at this stage.

Counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, who is present in Court states that liberty, however, may be granted to the petitioner to again approach the Court, if the same cause of action exists in the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty aforesaid.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE**

06.03.2018

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No