

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26520 of 2018
Date of decision: 21.06.2018

Napisa and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Avneesh Jhingan, J.

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking protection of their lives and liberty on the premise that petitioner No.1 has performed marriage with petitioner No.2 against the wishes of her parents and other family members and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their lives and liberty at their instance.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 and 2 only.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Saini, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 and 2–State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that a representation dated 19.06.2018 (Annexure P-4) was made to the Superintendent of Police, Mewat, seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter.

Heard.

Without examining the question of validity or otherwise of marriage of the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 - the Superintendent of Police, Nuh, to look into grievance of the petitioners expressed in the representation dated 19.06.2018 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action, if any, warranted in the circumstances.

The validity of the marriage has not been decided in this petition. In case there is any FIR lodged against petitioner No.2, the police would be at liberty to take action in accordance with law.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

21.06.2018

rittu

Whether the order is speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether the order is reportable : Yes/No