

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Sunil
2018.05.16 10:57
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-25518-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.05.2018

SITA DEVI AND ANR.

..Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND ORS.

..Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Bimal Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ashima Mor, APP, U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Siranjot Singh, Advocate for
Mr. R.C. Sharma, Advocate
for respondent nos.7 to 11.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(Oral)

Reply filed by the State is taken on record. Copy supplied.

State counsel informs that challan has been presented and charge has been framed. She says that one accused was arrested and the other was allowed anticipatory bail.

The counsel for the petitioner says that threat has been given.

No directions are required to be issued. The petition is dismissed as infructuous. If the petitioners feel threatened, they can lodge a complaint.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

15.05.2018
Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No