

223
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26422-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 28.11.2018

Vijender Kumar and another Petitioners

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Toor, APP, UT., Chandigarh.

Mr. Bipan Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Vishvjit S. Virk, Advocate for respondent No. 7.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that complaint dated 8.3.2017 (Annexure P-1) was made against the petitioners by respondent No. 7. Petitioners seeks direction to respondents No. 1 and 2 to conduct fair investigation in the said complaint.

I am of the view that petition is pre-mature. Respondent-State has decided not to take any action in this matter. It is this Court which is intimated by the official respondents petitioners are being called to the police station to get their statements recorded but they are not coming forward. Since, the police has not decided to take any action in the matter so so are, therefore, petition is pre-mature and is disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

28.11.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No