

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.100 of 1996

Date of decision:15.02.2018

Sattu Ram

... Appellant

Vs.

Lekh Raj (deceased) through LRs

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Ms. Kamalpreet, Advocate
for the appellant.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The present appeal is directed against the concurrent findings of facts and law, whereby, the suit for mandatory injunction has been decreed and the appeal filed before the Lower Appellate Court, has also been dismissed.

As per office report, notices could not be issued to LRs of sole respondent No.(i) (ii) and (iv) for want of complete address and the application for bringing on record legal representatives of sole respondent no.(iii) and (v), has not been filed.

Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that despite repeated requests, no information has been received from her client.

Since counsel for the appellant is unable to comply with the order dated 09.10.2017, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is granted to the appellant for revival of the appeal in case something survives.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

February 15, 2018
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No