

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 25429 of 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- March 01, 2018**

Mohinder Thakur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Deepinder Singh Brar, Advocate for U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate for the complainant.

Vide last order dated 15.1.2018, the petitioner was directed to appear before the investigating officer on 5.2.2018 and 6.2.2018 at 10.00 A.M. observing that in case of non compliance, the petition would be liable to be dismissed.

Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Lakhbir Singh states that the petitioner had not contacted him on those dates. Although this contention is refuted by learned counsel for the petitioner stating that petitioner had gone to the investigating officer but was not allowed to join the investigation but then he could not convince me as to why the Court was not informed in that regard at the earliest. Obviously the direction passed by this Court vide last order has not been complied with. Therefore, the petition stands dismissed.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

March 01, 2018
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No