

201     **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-26200-2018(O&M)  
Date of decision : 22.06.2018**

**Rulda Singh Chauhan**

**..... Petitioner**

**versus**

**State of Punjab**

**..... Respondent**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**  
**\*\*\***

Present:     Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G, Punjab.

**\*\*\***

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)**

The prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR bearing No.0059 dated 17.02.2018, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, Ludhiana.

The petitioner has apprehended arrest at the hands of the police on account of the registration of FIR aforementioned on the complaint made by complainants Sukhdev Singh, Devinder Singh and Gurcharan Singh that they paid Rs.3,00,000/- to the petitioner for getting the job in the High Court. It has been alleged that the petitioner claiming himself to be an employee of the High Court, assured employment to their wards.

Mr. Arun Bansal learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the story of the complainants does not inspire confidence as they had some dispute of settling of account. No useful purpose would be served from the custodial interrogation of the petitioner, when he is willing to join investigation to prove the payment of Rs.3,00,000/- and in case the

petitioner proves this amount that would be adjusted.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl.A.G., Punjab, on instructions from Head Constable Gurjot Singh, submits that amount of Rs.3,00,000/- is yet to be recovered. It has not been indicated from the bank statement that the said amount has been paid. He prays for dismissal of anticipatory bail application as the police requires custodial interrogation of the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the case, which will be subject matter of investigation and keeping in view the facts aforementioned, I deem it appropriate to grant concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Resultantly, the petition is disposed of by granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the condition that he shall join the investigation as and when required by the police by issuing a notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail by the Investigating Officer on furnishing bail bonds/sureties to his satisfaction, subject to the conditions mentioned under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

( AMIT RAWAL )  
JUDGE

**22.06.2018**  
**monika**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No