

CRM-M-26191-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26191-2018

Date of Decision: 18.07.2018

Ritu Jain

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. K.K.Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Ritu Jain has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.674 dated 08.12.2017, registered at Police Station Shivaji Colony, Rohtak, under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that as per the prosecution version, the present petitioner started a committee which was being managed by her with

CRM-M-26191-2018

the help of her husband-Sanjay and one Shubham. The present petitioner alongwith co-accused has misappropriated the amount of investors by cheating.

Learned counsel for the petitioners state that co-accused of the petitioner have already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide orders dated 22.03.2018 passed in CRM-M-8395-2018 and CRM-M-4973-2018.

In pursuance of the interim order dated 10.07.2018 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation. She is not required for custodial interrogation. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by sending her to custody.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts of the case in minute details; without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and on the ground of parity also, I find merit in this petition and the same is allowed. The order dated 10.07.2018, granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

However, nothing stated above shall constitute my opinion on merits of the case.

18.07.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No