

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.26131 of 2018
Date of Decision.21.06.2018**

Brij Pal

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J.(ORAL)

The prayer in the present petition is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 118 dated 10.10.2015, under Sections 304-B, 498-A and 406 read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Tigaon, District Faridabad and alternative charge under Section 302/34 IPC was also framed by the learned trial Court. The petitioner being the father-in-law of deceased Sumesh is involved on a complaint made by Om Singh son of Shriram

Mr.Gupta, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that husband of the deceased has already been granted the concession of regular bail by the trial Court vide order dated 27.05.2016. He further submitted that challan has been presented and trial has started and even the evidence of complainant has also been recorded and now the case is fixed for remaining evidence of prosecution.

Ms.Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana, on instructions from ASI Narender Singh, has submitted that there are 23 witnesses in this case. The relationship of the petitioner with the deceased is not denied but stated that the petitioner did not appear before the police or at the time of filing of challan before the trial Court and proceedings under Section 82 Cr.P.C. had to be initiated against him and was declared a proclaimed offender on 01.08.2017. It is in pursuance of that order that he was arrested on 27.09.2017.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and appraised the paper book.

Without commenting upon merits and demerits of the case, I am of the view that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars as the trial Court is likely to take some time in concluding the trial.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts and circumstances, I deem it appropriate to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Resultantly, the crl. petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to furnishing of bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Duty Magistrate/trial Court, Faridabad.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

June 21, 2018

sunita

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes

Whether reportable

No