

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.242

CRM-M-26084-2018

Date of Decision:15.12.2018

Mukhtiar Kaur and others

....petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr.Rahul Sharma, Advocate for
Mr.B.S.Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.Manreet Singh Nagra, AAG Punjab

Mr.H.S.Brar, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 8

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL):

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents not to harass the petitioners at the instance of private respondents.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Nirmal Singh has submitted that the petitioners are not required in the investigation of any case.

Learned counsel appearing for the private respondents submits that in fact the dispute was on account that maternal grand son of petitioner No.1 namely Vipindeep Singh performed marriage with Gogi, daughter of respondent No.4 and even the private respondents are not interfering in their life.

In view of the same, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this petition may be rendered infructuous, at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

15.12.2018

neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No.

Whether reportable-

Yes/No