

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26040-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 13.11.2018

Ravi Kant

....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of U.T.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. D.S. Soundh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Chahar, Advocate for
Mr. Gagandeep Singh Wasu, A.P.P.,
for U.T. Chandigarh.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that recovery was effected and they appended the list as Annexure-4(Colly).

Complainant is present in the Court and she states that gold jewellery, LED TV and other items mentioned in the list, have not been recovered.

Learned State counsel states that some photographs were handed over to them.

A perusal of those photographs show that none of the items, which are mentioned in the list, appear in any of the photograph.

Counsel for the petitioner states that TV is with them and they are ready to deposit its amount.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 02.08.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the

conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure on deposit of Rs.25,000/- for the T.V. within a period of one month before the Illaqa Magistrate. The amount be handed over to the complainant on deposit. As regards the other items, which are disputed, the complainant will have to prove that in appropriate proceedings.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

13.11.2018

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No