

Crl. Misc. No. M-25958 of 2018
Date of Decision: July 09, 2018

Inderpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rajiv Kataria, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges that the police is harassing him even though no FIR has been registered against him.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. J.S. Walia, Sr. DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondent No. 4.

The petitioner has already made a written representation dated 09.05.2018 to respondent No. 2, in which it has been mentioned that the petitioner and his family are being falsely implicated. A threat has also been made that they would be implicated in false cases, thus, the representation has been moved for conducting fair and proper enquiry in the matter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although the above mentioned representation has been made, the police is still harassing the petitioner.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to consider the representation dated 09.05.2018 (Annexure P-3) and in case the

petitioner is required to be present for investigation of the case, notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. be issued to him.

July 09, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No