

**239 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.25878 of 2016

Date of decision: January 18, 2018

Pravin Kumar Nagpal and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Deepa Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Yash Dev Kaushik, Advocate
for respondents No.5 and 6.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(ORAL)

Learned State counsel has placed on file copy of order dated
11th January, 2018 passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Faridabad
observing as under:-

*“In these circumstances, I am surprise to see, how the
cancellation report has been prepared and has been
forwarded by ACP concerned. Accordingly, the cancellation
report is not accepted and be sent back to the SHO/ACP
concerned for further investigation on all the aspects as
mentioned above. The intimation in this regard be also sent to
Commissioner of Police, Faridabad. File be sent back to the
concerned Quarters. Copy of this order be kept on record.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that learned
Magistrate has already ordered for further investigation of the aspects as
mentioned above, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.

As such, the present petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

However, the State of Haryana is directed to investigate the matter sincerely in accordance with law and submit the report at the earliest.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

January 18, 2018
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No