

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No.25892 of 2018

Date of Decision:10.10.2018

Parveen Kumar BansalPetitioner

Vs.

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr.A.P.S. Deol, Senior Advocate with
Mr.H.S.Deol, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.K.S.Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

None for the complainant.

SUDHIR MITTAL J. (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.113 dated 01.06.2018, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Bathinda, District Bathinda, under Section 420 IPC.

A perusal of the order dated 04.09.2018, shows that a date was granted because counsel for the complainant had sought time to file reply. Neither the reply has been filed, nor the complainant is represented today.

Learned State counsel submits that pursuant to order dated 14.06.2018, the petitioner has joined investigation and is cooperating therewith.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 14.06.2018, is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions enshrined under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, by him.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

10.10.2018

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No