

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-258-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 12, 2018

Anil

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nishchal Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Bipin son of Subhash Chand died on or about 29.08.2014. His body was recovered from the railway track. Thereafter, statements of his relatives were recorded according to which they did not name any of the accused. Thereafter, their statements were recorded on two further dates but still no one was blamed for the death of said Bipin son of Subhash Chand.

2. On 17.06.2017, FIR No.348 under Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 was registered and Ganga Dhar and Sandeep were arrested. The allegations are that during investigation of the said case, these persons disclosed that they were also involved in the killing of Bipin son of Subhash Chand and the petitioner has been named as co-accused. The petitioner has been in custody since 18.06.2017.

3 Learned State counsel has submitted that the challan has already been presented and out of 22 prosecution witnesses, 4 prosecution witnesses have already been examined.

4. Considering the fact that the petitioner has been involved on the basis of statements of co-accused and that too after a period of almost three years from the date of occurrence, coupled with the fact that there is no other criminal case registered or pending against the petitioner, I deem it appropriate, to order the release of petitioner on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

5. Disposed of.

January 12, 2018
sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No