

201 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-25775-2018(O&M)

Date of decision : 21.06.2018

Vinod Kumar

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Bhupander Ghanghas, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms.Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Sumit Sangwan, Advocate for the complainant.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 49 dated 27.04.2018, registered under Sections 342, 376 (2) (n) and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Women Police Station, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the complainant, on instructions from his client, submits that he has no objection in case concession of anticipatory bail is granted to the petitioner. Learned State counsel also does not dispute the fact that the complainant is living with the petitioner.

Without going into the merits and demerits of the case, which will be subject matter of investigation and keeping in view the facts aforementioned, I deem it appropriate to grant concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Resultantly, the petition is disposed of by granting

anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the condition that he shall join the investigation as and when required and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail by the Investigating Officer on furnishing bail bonds/sureties to his satisfaction, subject to the conditions mentioned under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

21.06.2018
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No