

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 29.10.2018

1. CRM-M-25738-2018

Jasvir Singh Baggar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

2. CRM-M-29563-2018

Karamjeet Singh @ Teela

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Vikas Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-25738-2018).

Mr. A.S. Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-29563-2018).

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in both these petitions is for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioners Jasvir Singh Baggar and Karamjeet Singh @ Teela, in FIR No.52 dated 22.05.2018 under Sections 308, 323, 341, 379, 148, 149, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Faridkot, District Faridkot.

While granting interim anticipatory bail to petitioner Jasvir Singh Baggar, following order was passed on 13.06.2018: -

“It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that in the incident where the injuries have been inflicted upon the

complainant, the petitioner was not present. The only allegation against him is that he had requested the Head Constable Beant Singh to get a compromise entered into between the parties. He further states that no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner and he is ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate the same.

Notice of motion for 05.09.2018.”

Thereafter, similar relief was granted to petitioner Karamjeet Singh @ Teela vide order dated 16.07.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that the petitioners are not named in the FIR and two of their co-accused namely Paramjit Singh and Gursewak Singh, who had given injuries to the complainant, had already been arrested and they are in judicial custody and challan qua these two persons has already been presented.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Beant Singh, has not disputed the fact that the petitioners have joined the investigation and recovery of motorcycle and weapon of offence i.e. *stick* has already been effected from the petitioners. It is further submitted that the petitioners are no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, both these petitions are allowed and the interim bail granted to both the petitioners vide orders dated 13.06.2018 and 16.07.2018 passed by this Court, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

29.10.2018
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No