

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.2567 of 2018

Date of Decision: 19.03.2018

Jassa Ram and others

.....Petitioners

vs

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Jaininder Saini, Advocate for
Dr. Deipa Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Neelam Kashyap, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.

Petitioners have prayed for the grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.73 dated 26.08.2017, registered under Sections 148, 149, 188, 332, 353, 436, 506, 511, 120B/121, 121-A IPC, Section 25 of the Arms Act (Sections 121, 121-A IPC, Section 27 of the Arms Act & Section 18 of the NDPS Act added later on) at Police Station Mansa Devi Complex (MDC), District Panchkula, Haryana.

The allegations contained in the FIR are to the effect that after conviction of Dera Chief Gurmeet Ram Rahim by the CBI Court at Panchkula, the followers caused damage the public properties. FIR was registered against number of persons, who came duly armed with stones, sticks, plastic cans,

petrol and diesel bombs. The mob caused hindrance in the performance of public duty by public servants and police personnel present at the spot in order to maintain law and order situation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that in **CRM-M No.47528 of 2017, CRM-M No.47594 of 2017, CRM-M No.35695 of 2017** and **CRM-M No.3973 of 2018**, the co-accused in the aforesaid FIR have been granted regular by the High Court.

As against this, learned State counsel submitted that out of six petitioners, petitioners No.1 to 3 were carrying petrol and diesel canes and were intending to use the same by setting the public properties on fire. Petitioners No.4 to 6 were duly armed with countrymade pistols which were duly recovered from their persons.

Having heard, learned counsel for the parties, I am of the view that complicity of the petitioners in the entire scheme of events would be debatable. The co-accused have already been enlarged on bail. Recoveries have already been effected and the petitioners are in judicial custody since 26.08.2017. The trial of the case may take some time in its culmination.

At this stage, without advertng to the merits of the case, it would be just and appropriate to grant concession of

regular bail to the petitioners.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail, subject to their furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

March 19, 2018

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No