

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25656-2018

DATE OF DECISION: AUGUST 20, 2018

PUNEET GOYAL

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN.

PRESENT: MR. GURPREET SINGH, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONER.

MR. GAURAV BANSAL, AAG, HARYANA.

MS. AMRITA NAGPAL, ADVOCATE
FOR RESPONDENT NO.2.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Parties are present in Court. The dispute has been settled between the parties amicably through Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. Settlement/Compromise, in original, has been forwarded to this Court. In terms of the settlement, a Demand Draft in a sum of ₹2,20,000/- has been handed over by learned counsel for the petitioner to complainant-respondent No.2 Saugandha. Complainant alongwith her counsel states that she does not oppose the petition for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State further submits that as the compromise has been effected between the parties and the petitioner has joined investigation, therefore, the petitioner is not required by the local police in connection with further investigation.

As such, the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order

dated 19.6.2018 is made absolute, subject to his fulfilling conditions under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands allowed.

August 20, 2018
Gulati

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : No