

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-25631-2018
Date of decision: 08.10.2018**

Shiv Jagdees

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Abhishek Sindhvani, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 171 dated 27.03.2018, under Sections 147/148/285/323/427/120-B of IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station City, Jind.

The operative part of the order dated 12.06.2018, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegation in the FIR, the complainant has alleged that co-accused Bhupinder @ Babbal and Virender have attacked him and his family members. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that four persons with muffled faces have entered into the house of the complainant and caused injuries to him. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that co-accused Bhupinder @ Babbal, who is named in the FIR, has been granted concession of anticipatory bail by the Additional Sessions Judge, Jind vide order dated 06.06.2018 whereas the petitioner was not named in the FIR and has been declined the concession of bail on the ground that he has involved

in another FIR No. 198 dated 06.04.2018. Counsel for the petitioner has placed on record copy of order dated 29.05.2018 passed in CRM-M-23334-2018 in which the petitioner has been granted concession of interim bail in FIR No. 198 dated 06.04.2018. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has been nominated in the present FIR on the disclosure of co-accused. Notice of motion for 31.08.2018.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 12.06.2018, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Vijender Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 12.06.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

October 08, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No