

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-25618-2018

Date of Decision: July 12, 2018

Aditya @ Bholu

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-Aditya @ Bholu son of Dharmender seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 246, dated 03.12.2016, registered at Police Station Rampura, District Rewari, under sections 193,/165/120-B and section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was on regular bail but could not appear on a particular date, as he was advised bed rest on account of disc problem. As a result, his regular bail was cancelled. The petitioner has surrendered thereafter on 24.01.2018 and has been in custody since then. Even as per the allegations in the FIR ,no role has been attributed to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel submits that as per the disclosure statement of the co-accused, the petitioner was also involved in the incident.

4. A bare perusal of the FIR shows that there is no complaint against

the petitioner. That apart from, the investigation is complete and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody indefinitely.

5. Thus, the petition is allowed. Petitioner-Aditya @ Bholu son of Dharmender, is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court concerned at Rewari.

July 12, 2018
sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No