

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25582-2018

Date of decision: 28.08.2018

Krishan Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pardeep Kumar, son of the complainant in person.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.23 dated 04.04.2018 under Sections 302, 34 IPC (Section 302 IPC has been deleted and Section 306 IPC has been added later on), registered at Police Station City 2, Mansa.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 15.06.2018: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that vide order dated 07.06.2018 passed in CRM-M-25311-2018, co-accused Shakuntla Devi has been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the role attributed to the petitioner is identical to co-accused Shakuntla Devi.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon GD No.028 dated 07.06.2018 (Annexure P-5), vide which the police has deleted Section 302 IPC and Section 306 IPC has been added. Notice of motion for 10.07.2018.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Raj Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 15.06.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

28.08.2018
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No