

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25565-2018

Date of Decision: 10.08.2018

Sonu and another

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Surinder Dagar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN,J

On 11.06.2018, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Prayer in both these petitions is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.348 dated 19.05.2018 under Sections 323, 34, 506 IPC (Section 3 of SC&SCT Act, Sections 148, 149, 341 IPC were added and Section 34 IPC was deleted during investigation), registered at Police Station Sadar Palwal, District Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, submits that during the investigation, the police has added Sections 148, 149, 341 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that Attar Singh, father of co-accused Akash is a witness against complainant Ashok in FIR No.455/2014 under Sections 506/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Palwal and had appeared as prosecution witness on 22.05.2018. It is further submitted that as per allegations in the FIR, offences under Sections 323, 324, 506 IPC are bailable, however, Section 3 of SC&ST Act is invoked only with regard to a passing reference in the present FIR that the accused persons abused the complainant in the name of his caste.

Notice of motion for 10.08.2018.

In the meantime, petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer within a period of 10 days to join the investigation and they will be released on interim bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds subject to the following conditions: -

- 1. They will make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. They will not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and*
- 3. They will not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”*

Counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuant to the aforesaid order, the petitioners have joined the investigation and are no more required for further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the factual position.

In view of the same, order dated 11.06.2018 is made absolute.

Disposed of.

10.08.2018
smriti

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether Reportable : Yes/No