

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.25539 of 2018

Date of Decision: 04.10.2018

Savita Kaushal and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Vipul Joshi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. L.S. Virk, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have joined the investigation and this fact has been affirmed by learned State counsel, on instructions from Sub Inspector Inderjit Singh, whereas, learned counsel for the complainant submits that the car used in the commission of offence has not been recovered as car number has not been mentioned in the complaint/FIR.

The allegations are matter of evidence which are to be seen by the trial Court.

In view of the above, the order passed in favour of the petitioners on 12.06.2018 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

04.10.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No