

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH

160

CRM-M-25537-2018

Date of decision: 11.06.2018

Ladu Kumar Yadav @ Laddoo Kumar

...PETITIONER

VS.

State of Punjab

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that on 22.05.2018, although the petitioner was very much present outside the Court but could not hear the call and, therefore, did not appear before the Court. He contends that prior to this, there is no default on the part of the petitioner since he was released on bail on 05.07.2017. He further contends that the petitioner never intended to absent himself from appearing before the Court and would appear before the trial Court on 14.06.2018, which is the date fixed before the trial Court. He further states that he would move an application for grant of regular bail, which may be considered and decided at an early date.

Keeping in view the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner, if the assertions of the counsel for the petitioner are found to be correct, petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the said Court on

an application moved by him on his surrender before the trial Court.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MAISH)
JUDGE

June 11, 2018
pj

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No