

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M-25527 of 2018

Date of Decision:- 06.07.2018

Vijay Kumar

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Sanchit Punia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.24 dated 08.01.2018 registered at Police Station Sadar, Hisar under Sections 341, 392, 397, 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 2.2.2018 and that he is not named in the FIR. He has been nominated as an accused on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused. The investigation is complete and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody indefinitely.

Learned State counsel states that the conspiracy was hatched at the instance of the petitioner as he was an employee in the Spinning Mill, the Manager of which was robbed by the accused persons. Thus, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail.

In rebuttal, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the disclosure statement of the accused, only a sum of ₹ 14,000/- was paid to the petitioner whereas a total of ₹ 4 lacs was looted. Had the petitioner been the master-mind, he would not have received only a paltry sum of ₹ 14,000/-/

Keeping in view the submissions of the counsel for the petitioner that the investigation is complete and that only a very small amount was allegedly received by the petitioner, it would be just and appropriate to grant regular bail to him. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and it is directed that petitioner-Vijay Kumar be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court concerned.

July 06, 2018**poonam****(SUDHIR MITTAL)****JUDGE****Whether Speaking/Reasoned****Yes****Whether Reportable****No**