

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25490-2018

Date of decision: 14.08.2018

Varsha Chhabra and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Narender Kaajla, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.242 dated 04.05.2018 under Sections 323, 377, 406, 498A, 506 IPC read with Section 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Hisar Civil Lines, Hisar.

On 11.06.2018, following order was passed by this Court: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are parents-in-law of the complainant Daisy. Counsel for the petitioners further submits that both the petitioners are senior citizens and petitioner No.2, father-in-law of the complainant, is an old patient of cancer. It is further submitted that as per allegations in the FIR, there are no direct allegations of demand

of dowry and all the allegations are against husband of the complainant. Counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that the complainant was residing with her husband Yogesh at Govindgarh, whereas the petitioners are residing at Chandigarh and are separate in mess and residence.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State while Mr. Narender Kaajla, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the complainant.

List again on 14.08.2018.

In the meantime, petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer within a period of 10 days to join the investigation and they will be released on interim bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds subject to the following conditions: -

- 1. They will make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. They will not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and*
- 3. They will not leave India without previous permission of the Court.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order dated 11.06.2018, petitioners have joined the investigation and are not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating

Officer, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioners are no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioners vide order dated 11.06.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

14.08.2018
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No