

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(115)

CRM-M-25482-2018

Date of Decision: June 08, 2018.

Aarti and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6 and other relatives because they have married each other on 06.06.2018 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both the petitioners, duly identified by their counsel, are present in Court and on being asked submit that they have solemnized marriage between themselves out of their own free will and volition. They state that this is their first marriage.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 15.02.1998 and 05.05.1995, respectively. True copies of Aadhar Card of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P-1 and P-2. A copy of the Marriage Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. It is submitted that the petitioners have submitted a representation dated 06.06.2018 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar for redressal of their grievance but no action has been taken thereon.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Haryana.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. A copy of the petition has been handed over to learned counsel for the State.

Mr. Harsh Raheja, Advocate has put in appearance and accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.4 to 6 and filed memo of appearance on behalf of respondent No.4 and has filed power of attorney on behalf of respondents No. 5 and 6 which is taken on record subject to just exceptions.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 4 to 6 states that there is no question of any threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners at their hands, even though petitioner No.1 has admittedly solemnised marriage with petitioner No.2 against their wishes.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates appended with the petition, respondent No.2 the Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar is directed to look into representation dated 06.06.2018 submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action as may be/if any required in view of the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

Petition is disposed of accordingly.

June 08, 2018
harsha

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No