

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-25473-2018

Date of Decision: 10.08.2018

Binda @ Sandeep

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. N.S.Dhillon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN,J

On 11.06.2018, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.36 dated 06.02.2018 under Sections 323, 341, 506/34 IPC and Section 3 of SC&ST Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Hansi, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one day prior to the incident, one FIR No.007 dated 05.02.2018 under Section 354, 354-A, 354-B, 506, 509 IPC was got registered by one Biramati, sister-in-law (bhabhi) of the petitioner against complainant Dhoop Singh. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the present FIR has been registered only as a counter-blast to put pressure on the petitioner and all the offences other than Section 3 of SC&ST Act are bailable and triable by the Court of the Magistrate and there is no specific allegation against the petitioner that he has abused the complainant in the name of his caste.

Notice of motion for 10.08.2018.

In the meantime, petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer within a period of 10 days to join the investigation and he shall be released on interim bail on

furnishing bail/surety bonds subject to the following conditions: -

- 1. He shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and*
- 3. He shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.*

Counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuant to the aforesaid order, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from DSP Parmodh Kumar, has not disputed the factual position.

In view of the same, order dated 11.06.2018 is made absolute.

Disposed of.

10.08.2018
smriti

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether Reportable : Yes/No