

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

Crl. Misc. No.M-25449 of 2018

Date of Decision: 06.09.2018

Shamsher Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Narinder Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation, whereas, learned State counsel submits that though the petitioner has joined investigation but he is not co-operating with the Investigating Agency.

However, on asking of the Court from learned State counsel as to what co-operation is required from the petitioner, she has stated that the recovery of amount has not been effected from him.

A Civil Suit for specific performance filed by the complainant is still pending. The allegations are to be seen by the trial Court during trial.

In view of the above, interim order dated 13.07.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

06.09.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No