

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 2447 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION :- August 02, 2018

Shant Kumar Lamba

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. J.S. Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Mehak Sawhney, Advocate
for Dr. Deipa Singh, Advocate
for complainant/respondent no. 2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the matter has been compromised between the parties. There was a matrimonial dispute between Gaurav Lamba and Shivali which has since resolved amicably. Both the spouses have started living together and they have been blessed with a second child, therefore, the petition for pre arrest bail filed by the petitioner be accepted.

Learned counsel for the complainant while conceding the factum of amicable settlement between the parties states that she has no objection if the petition is allowed.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Vijender Kumar submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and the matter

has been compromised between the parties and the challan has been filed in the Court, therefore, the petitioner is not required by the local police for further investigation.

Under such circumstances, the petition is accepted and it is directed that in the event of arrest of the petitioner in newly added Sections 376/511 IPC, he would be released on bail subject to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting officer subject to his fulfilling conditions under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

August 02, 2018
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No