

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-25343-2018(O&M)

Date of Decision : 10.08.2018

Lakhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Kamaldip Singh Sidhu, Advocate with
Mr. L.S. Bhullar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for regular bail under the provision of Section 439 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.247 dated 23.09.2017 under Section 379-A, registered at Police Station City Mandi, Dabwali, District Sirsa.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner vehemently submitted that vehicle bearing registration No. PB-30-T-3572 belongs to the father of the petitioner and vehicle involved in snatching vehicle bearing registration No. HR-25-E-4500. In other words, petitioner had been implicated only with reference to the fact that he was using the vehicle bearing registration No. PB-30-T-3572. Petitioner is in custody since 19.03.2018. He is also not involved in any other case.

3. The above facts are not disputed by the State counsel. He submitted that matter is now listed for evidence of the prosecution witnesses on 28.08.2018.

4. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
5. Having regard to the fact that petitioner is in custody since 19.03.2018 and it is undisputed that he is not involved in any other case, therefore, petitioner is entitled for regular bail. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. Petitioner, namely, Lakhwinder Singh is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bonds to the satisfaction of concerned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.
6. However, the petitioner shall not in any way indulge in any criminal activities and he shall also not influence the witnesses or other wise interfere with the fair trial.

10.08.2018
neeraj

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/ No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No