

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(113)

CRM-M-25264-2018

Date of Decision: June 06, 2018

Taranbir Kaur and another

..Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Lalit Pathak, Advocate, for the petitioners.

LISA GILL, J.(ORAL)

Present petition has been filed by the petitioners for protection of their life and liberty as they apprehend danger at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5 and other relatives because they have solemnized marriage between themselves on 04.06.2018 against the wishes of the said respondents. Both the petitioners are present in Court and on being asked submit that they have solemnised marriage between themselves out of their free will and volition. It is stated that petitioner No.1 was earlier married but divorce has since been granted in a petition filed by her first husband. Petitioner No.1, it is submitted, will not challenge judgment and decree dated 23.04.2018, Annexure P-3.

It is contended that the petitioners are major, their dates of birth being 22.10.1983 and 24.09.1994, respectively. True copies of Aadhar Cards of both the petitioners have been appended with the petition as Annexures P1 and P2 though perusal of Aadhar Card of petitioner No.2 (Annexure P-2) shows that no specific date is mentioned and only the year of birth i.e., 1994 has been mentioned. A copy of the Marriage Certificate and the photographs of the marriage are also placed on record as Annexures

P-4 and P-5. The petitioners are stated to have submitted a representation dated 04.06.2018 (Annexure P-6) to respondent No.2 i.e., the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala for redressal of their grievance.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Punjab.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Copies of the petition have been handed over to learned State counsel.

Without commenting upon the age of the petitioners or the validity of their marriage or the validity and genuineness of the certificates and documents appended with the petition, respondent No.2- the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala is directed to look into the representation dated 04.06.2018 submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate action as may be/if any required, keeping in view the threat perception to the petitioners.

It is, however, made clear that this order shall have no effect on the validity of the alleged marriage of the petitioners and neither will any other civil or criminal proceedings initiated against the petitioners be barred due to this order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

June 06, 2018.
harsha

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No