

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-25246-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 20.07.2018

Ajmer

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Lather, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Baldev Singh Badhran, Advocate for the complainant.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the present petition, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No. 150 dated 18.05.2018 (Annexure P-1) u/s 306, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Jind, District Jind.

2. Detailed order was passed on 11.07.2018 after hearing learned counsel for the parties. Petitioner could not convince what was the animosity between the petitioner and the complainant so as to implicate him with reference to suicide note. Name of the petitioner is reflecting in the suicide note and co-accused whose attribution is less than the petitioner is behind the bar and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary.

3. In view of the above factual aspect, petitioner has not made out a case for seeking anticipatory bail and same is dismissed.

20.07.2018

pooja saini

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No